

REMARKS

Prior to the Restriction , Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10 and 20-26 were pending in this application.


In response to this Restriction Requirement, Applicants elect, with tranverse, Claims 1-4 and 25, i.e., Group I. However, Applicants respectfully assert that the inventions in Groups I, II, III and IV belong to one category, namely, the optimum transmission method of scheduling information for supporting AB scheduling. It is respectfully submitted, therefore, that Groups I, II, III and IV should not be considered to be separate and distinct.

Accordingly, al of the pending claims in this application, namely, claims 1-4, and 25, are believed to be in condition for allowance.

Should the Examiner feel that a telephone conference or personal interview will facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the number indicated below. A prompt action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 13, 2006



Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant(s)

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP
333 Earle Ovington Boulevard
Uniondale, New York 11553
TEL: (516) 228-8484
FAX: (516) 228-8516